



Understanding Dynamic Electrical Material through Discovery Learning: Does it impact learning outcomes?

Boby Syefrinando^{1*}, Al Fajri Adha¹, Ayu Sofna¹, Cici Pramita¹, Tasa Ratna Puri¹, Mona Erliza², Fauzan Sulman¹

¹ Faculty of Tarbiyah and Teacher Training, UIN Sulthan Thaha Saifuddin Jambi, Jambi, Indonesia.

² SMAN 13 Bungo, Muara Bungo, Indonesia

* Corresponding Author. Email: boby-syefrinando@ujambi.ac.id

Receive: 17/05/2023

Accepted: 17/06/2023

Published: 01/10/2023

Abstrak

Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengetahui pengaruh model pembelajaran *discovery learning* terhadap hasil belajar siswa pada materi listrik dinamis. Penelitian ini menggunakan jenis penelitian kuantitatif dengan menggunakan metode pra-eksperimental. Populasi dalam penelitian ini terdiri dari seluruh peserta didik kelas XII MIPA di SMAN 13 Bungo tahun ajaran 2023/2024. Sampel dalam penelitian ini berjumlah 33 orang, dimana jumlah siswa sebanyak 18 orang, sedangkan siswi berjumlah 15 siswi. Pada penelitian ini didapatkan dari hasil nilai ulangan harian siswa pada materi listrik dinamis. Adapun instrumen dalam penelitian ini terdiri dari soal essay dengan berbentuk essay test. Soal tersebut diberikan kepada siswa pada saat melakukan ulangan harian materi listrik dinamis. Berdasarkan hasil ulangan harian siswa data di peroleh nilai rata-rata 72,16 dengan standar deviasi 10,133. Berdasarkan hasil penelitian diatas dapat disimpulkan bahwa model pembelajaran model *discovery learning* tidak berpengaruh secara signifikan terhadap hasil belajar siswa pada materi listrik dinamis. Hal tersebut dikarenakan proses pelaksanaan model pembelajaran *discovery learning* belum berjalan secara maksimal sehingga belum mampu memaksimalkan sekaligus meningkatkan hasil belajar khususnya materi listrik dinamis.

Kata Kunci: *discovery learning, hasil belajar, listrik dinamis*

Abstract

This research aims to determine the effect of the discovery learning model on student learning outcomes in dynamic electrical material. This research uses a quantitative type of research using pre-experimental methods. The population in this study consisted of all students in class XII MIPA at SMAN 13 Bungo for the 2023/2024 academic year. The sample in this study amounted to 33 people, of which the number of students was 18 people, while the number of female students was 15 students. This research was obtained from the results of students' daily test scores on dynamic electricity material. The instrument in this research consists of essay questions in the form of an essay test. These questions are given to students when doing daily tests on dynamic electricity material. Based on the results of students' daily tests, the data obtained an average value of 72.16 with a standard deviation of 10.133. Based on the research results above, it can be concluded that the discovery learning model does not have a significant effect on student learning outcomes in dynamic electricity material. This is because the process of implementing the discovery learning model has not run optimally so it has not been able to maximize and improve learning outcomes, especially dynamic electrical material.

Keywords : Discovery Learning, Dynamic Electricity, Learning Outcomes

Introduction

Physics is a branch of natural science that contributes to achieving educational goals (Nada et al., 2022; Wahyuni & Taqwa, 2022). It is a science that emerges and develops through the stages of observation, problem formulation (Misbah et al., 2022; Rahim & Nadira, 2022; Zakwandi et al., 2022), hypothesis preparation, hypothesis testing through experiments, conclusions and exploration of theories and projects (Rosdiana et al., 2019; Sinulingga et al., 2016). It can be said that the essence of physics is a science that studies phenomena through a series of processes called the scientific process, built on the basis of a scientific point of view and presenting the results (Pangesti & Astono, 2018; Serway & Jewett, 2014). Realization as a scientific product includes the three most important process elements (Masalesi, 2022; Nehru et al., 2022; Ramadhani & Nurita, 2022; Yusuf et al., 2022). Its components are concepts, principles and theories that apply universally (Silaban et al., 2023; Wahyudi, 2021).

Physics teaching should be consistent with the characteristics of science and actively attract students to participate in research. Actively involving students in the scientific research process during the learning process is a basic requirement in learning physics (Adi Prasetya & Tri Harjanto, 2020; M et al., 2022). The hope that physics learning is able to instill and foster habits of independent, creative and critical scientific thinking (N. Amelia & Amelia, 2023; Maya et al., 2023; Sofna et al., 2023) and behavior will have an impact on the role of teachers as teachers shift from conveying knowledge to focusing more on student activities (Ardianto et al., 2019). So students must actively participate in problem solving to find solutions. Therefore, training students to actively solve problems is an asset so that students have the skills to be able to solve problems in everyday

life (Adha et al., 2023; Wulansari et al., 2023) and be more independent in pursuing further education.

The 2013 Curriculum learning process is carried out in accordance with a scientific approach. The high school physics subjects set out in the 2013 curriculum are aimed at learning with a scientific approach, namely training students to solve problems systematically and achieving high student learning outcomes (Ibrahim et al., 2020; Verdian et al., 2021). The aim of implementing the 2013 Curriculum is to shape students' skills and character in the form of a synthesis of knowledge (Pramita & Putri, 2023; Sapitri & Indriyati, 2023; Wulandari & Liliani, 2023), skills and attitudes that students can demonstrate in the form of understanding the concepts studied in their own context (Joy, 2014; Loibl & Leuders, 2018) Therefore, this goal is achieved when students are faced with a learning process that includes cognitive processes in problem solving and in the discovery process (Adi Prasetya & Tri Harjanto, 2020; Campisi et al., 2018).

However, the reality is that up to now, teachers' learning in high school has not oriented students towards a learning process that presents contextual problems to discover or solve concepts, theories and principles (Idulog et al., 2023; Pamuji & Mawardi, 2023; Sapitri & Indriyati, 2023; Seftiani & Aulia, 2023). Students can easily master scientific concepts and their environment through observing real life situations (Aldalur & Perez, 2023; Rahmi & Fitria, 2020). The positive impact of implementing a contextual environmental approach is that students can stimulate their curiosity about things in their environment. Therefore, the focus of physics learning is to grow knowledge of basic concepts (Putra et al., 2021; Rafzan et al., n.d.; Zb, Novalian, Ananda, et al., 2021), develop basic skills related to scientific processes, and develop

logical thinking patterns (Harahap & Sihombing, 2015; Loibl & Leuders, 2018).

The Discovery Learning Model is a learning model in which the teacher does not directly provide final results or conclusions about the material he is presenting (S. Amelia & Sukma, 2021; Campisi et al., 2018; Tariyanti et al., 2022). Instead, students have the opportunity to search and find the results of the data (Rozal et al., 2021; Sulman, Yuliati, Kusairi, et al., 2022; Zb, Novalian, Rozal, et al., 2021; Zb, Setiawan, et al., 2021). Therefore, this learning process is something that students must always remember so that the results achieved are not easily forgotten (Zeidler & Nichols, 2009; Zhang & Zhang, 2018; Zheng et al., 2021; Zunicha et al., 2017). Then the discovery learning model is a model that can involve students to actively learn and explore concepts or principles in the learning process (Campisi et al., 2018; Doyan et al., 2021). Apart from that, discovery learning is a learning model that directly involves students in exploring concepts and principles through observation, grouping and drawing conclusions. Therefore, the use of this learning model is given high priority to increase students' motivation and enthusiasm and encourage them to play an active role in the learning process (Joy, 2014; M et al., 2022). With the discovery learning model, it is hoped that it can facilitate the introduction of the learning material provided and improve the quality of student learning outcomes.

The above statement is in accordance with the advantages of the discovery learning model (Chu et al., 2012), namely: 1) Helps students perfect and improve their skills and cognitive processes, 2) Knowledge obtained through this method is very personal and effective because it strengthens understanding, memory and transfer, 3) Can improve abilities solving

student problems, 4) Helping students strengthen their self-image so that they gain self-confidence in working together with others, 5) Encouraging students' active participation, 6) Encouraging students to think intuitively and forming their own hypotheses, 7) Training students to learn independently (Aldalur & Perez, 2023; Ornek et al., 2008).

On the other hand, low student learning outcomes are related to the implementation of the curriculum in general. The reasons are several factors, including: 1) the curriculum load is too heavy; 2) affective education is difficult to program explicitly because it is considered part of a hidden curriculum whose implementation is very dependent on the teacher's skills and experience; 3) achieving educational results, especially affective results, takes time and therefore requires educators' perseverance and patience; 4) Evaluating learning outcomes, especially in the affective area, is not easy (S. Amelia & Sukma, 2021; Loibl & Leuders, 2018).

Based on the results of observations conducted by researchers at SMAN 13 Bungo, researchers found that teachers did not involve students enough in solving contextual problems related to physics learning material, especially in understanding basic concepts of physics, which was still relatively low, which had an impact on student learning outcomes which were very low. And researchers also found several problems, such as: 1) students only received information from the teacher, so discovery activities were not carried out in the learning process. 2) Students do not have direct or practical experience. 3) During the learning process, students have not had the opportunity to ask questions, many students appear silent and passive in learning, and students have not expressed their group work attitude for discussion so

(**Boby Syefrinando , Al Fajri Adha , Ayu Sofna, Cici Pramita , Tasa Ratna Puri , Mona Erliza, Fauzan Sulman**)

that students' knowledge is less developed and this problem will have an impact on the learning outcomes of integrated thematic learning.

Based on the description above, this research aims to describe the influence of the Discovery Learning learning model on elementary school students' learning outcomes in integrated thematic learning.

Method

The type of research used is quantitative research using pre-experimental methods. The pre-experimental method is a design that includes only one group or class from which the students' daily test scores are obtained. Research methods are basically scientific ways to obtain data with specific purposes and uses. Research methods are basically scientific ways to obtain data with specific purposes and uses.

This research was carried out at SMAN 13 Bungo, Muara Bungo Regency in the 2023/2024 academic year . The population in this study consisted of all students in class XII MIPA at SMAN 13 Bungo, totaling 33 people. The sample in this study amounted to 33 people, of which the number of students was 18 people, while the number of female students was 15 students.

The data in this study were obtained from the results of students' daily test scores on dynamic electricity material. The instrument in this research consists of essay questions in the form of an essay test. These questions are given to students when doing daily tests on dynamic electricity material. Before the instrument is used in research, the questions are first tested. After testing the questions, instrument analysis is carried out, including: validity, reliability, distinguishability , and level of difficulty. The data analyzed obtained values in the form of the average value of daily test results, maximum value, minimum value, and standard deviation value which are used to

see students' mastery of concepts in dynamic electricity material.

Results and Discussion

Description of Daily Test Results Data

The results obtained from students' daily test scores are presented in the following frequency distribution table:

Table 1. Data on students' daily test scores

Daily Test Value	
N	33
Min Value	44
Max Value	92
Average	72.61
Standard deviation	10,133

Based on table. 2, it can be seen that the average student test score is 72.61 with the lowest test score being 44, and the highest score being 92. In this way, the average daily test score for students can be said to be sufficient. It can be said that students are quite good at understanding the discovery learning learning model. However, efforts are needed to improve the quality of the learning process, because there are still very low student test scores.

In the learning process, teachers often use learning models that make students less active in learning(Sulman et al., 2023; Sulman, Sutopo, et al., 2021; Sulman, Tanti, et al., 2021). Teachers should use learning models that can encourage students to be more active in learning so that learning takes place more effectively (Reyza et al., 2022, 2023; Sulman, 2019; Sulman et al., 2023; Sulman, Sutopo, et al., 2021; Sulman, Tanti, et al., 2021; Zb et al., 2020). Discovery learning is a learning model that guides students to discover their own knowledge through research, discussion and testing activities so that students are more proactive in learning. By using this learning model, you can measure student learning outcomes in dynamic electricity material.

(**Boby Syefrinando , Al Fajri Adha , Ayu Sofna, Cici Pramita , Tasa Ratna Puri , Mona Erliza, Fauzan Sulman**)

every appropriate learning model, whatever the name of the learning model, will result in students' interest (Sulman, 2012, 2019; Sulman et al., 2020; Zb et al., 2020) and motivation in learning so that students will be motivated and serious in the process of understanding the material so that they will try to understand the material or master the material better and more professionally (Meiliani et al., 2021; Sulman et al., 2023; Sulman, Yuliati, Kusairi, et al., 2022; Sulman, Yuliati, Purnama, et al., 2022), thereby realizing learning that is not only of high quality but can also be used in everyday life.

Testing Prerequisites for Analysis and Hypothesis Testing

Normality test

The normality test is carried out to determine whether the data obtained is normally distributed or not. The normality test in this study used Shapiro-Wilk descriptive statistics with the help of IBM SPSS Statistics 22 with a significance level of 5% or $\alpha = 0.05$. The results of the normality test in this study are presented in the following table:

Table 2. Normality Test Results

	Kolmogorov-Smirnov ³			Shapiro-Wilk		
	Statistic	df	Sig.	Statistic	df	Sig.
Student Test Scores	.122	3	.200	.974	3	.106

From table 2 it can be seen that the significant value in student test scores is 0.106, which means it is greater than the significant value $\alpha = 0.05$, so the student test score data is normally distributed.

Hypothesis testing

This hypothesis test was carried out on students' daily test scores. Based on the

prerequisites for data analysis, it is known that the data from students' daily tests is normally distributed. So hypothesis testing can be carried out using the independent t-test or free sample t-test. The results of calculating students' daily test scores using the t test are presented in the following table:

Table 3. T Test Results of Student Test Scores

T count	T table $\alpha = 0.05$
-1,357	1,693

Based on table 3, the t-test was carried out on students' daily test scores, so the t-count was -1.357 and the t-table was 1.693 at the 0.05 level . Therefore $t\text{-count} < t\text{-table}$ ($-1.357 < 1.693$) means H_0 is accepted, and H_a is rejected. Thus, the results of this research show that there is no influence of the discovery learning model on student learning outcomes in learning physics and dynamic electrical material in class XII MIPA SMAN 13 Bungo.

Overall, there are differences in student learning outcomes in the Discovery learning model, students actively develop their abilities in collecting information, managing it, and drawing conclusions (Acuña et al., 1995). Apart from that, in group collaboration, students interact from different backgrounds, have different ways of thinking to be able to solve problems that they solve together so that they can develop students' learning motivation at school and ultimately influence their academic achievement.

Students use the knowledge they already have to support problems, they get answers that are formed by the group or class as a whole. Individual children have varying knowledge, so the knowledge they gain varies on certain topics. Students use existing knowledge to solve problems, they accept answers given by the entire group or class . Each child has different knowledge so

the knowledge they gain will vary on certain topics.

Conclusion

Based on the research results above, it can be concluded that the Discovery Learning model does not have a significant effect on student learning outcomes in dynamic electricity material. This is proven by the average value of students' daily tests of 72.16 with a standard deviation of 10.133, as well as the results of the t test where $t_{count} < t_{table}$. This is believed by researchers, most likely because the teacher has not taught the discovery model well and perhaps no conditioning was carried out before this model was implemented, so that the students may not understand or comprehend the learning process that is being carried out, so that learning using this model does not run optimally. which resulted in not having a significant influence on student learning outcomes

References

- Acuña, M. H., Ogilvie, K. W., Baker, D. N., Curtis, S. A., Fairfield, D. H., & Mish, W. H. (1995). The Global Geospace Science Program and its investigations. In *Space Science Reviews* (Vol. 71, Issues 1–4). <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00751323>
- Adha, A. F., Rera, A., & Farisi, S. Al. (2023). Analysis of the TGT Cooperative Learning Model in Physics Learning : in terms of the Implementation of Procedures and Principles. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 2(1), 1–2. <https://doi.org/https://10.57092/ijetz.v2i1.70>
- Adi Prasetya, T., & Tri Harjanto, C. (2020). IMPROVING LEARNING ACTIVITIES AND LEARNING OUTCOMES USING THE DISCOVERY LEARNING METHOD. *VANOS Journal Of Mechanical Engineering Education*, 59(1), 59–66.
- Aldalur, I., & Perez, A. (2023). Gamification and discovery learning: Motivating and involving students in the learning process. *Heliyon*, 9(1), e13135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e13135>
- Amelia, N., & Amelia, N. (2023). Casual Factors and Sanctions For Disciplinary Violation Of School Rules. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 2(1), 1–2. <https://doi.org/https://10.57092/ijetz.v2i1.87>
- Amelia, S., & Sukma, E. (2021). Pengaruh Model Discovery Learning Terhadap Hasil Belajar Siswa Pada Pembelajaran Tematik Terpadu di Kelas IV. *Journal of Basic Education Studies*, 4(2), 1–8.
- Ardianto, A., Mulyono, D., & Handayani, S. (2019). Pengaruh Model Discovery Learning Terhadap Hasil Belajar Matematika Siswa Kelas VII SMP. *Jurnal Inovasi Matematika*, 1(1), 31–37. <https://doi.org/10.35438/inomatika.v1i1.136>
- Campisi, P., Forte, V., Carrillo, B., Vescan, A., & Brydges, R. (2018). Effectiveness of discovery learning using a mobile otoscopy simulator on knowledge acquisition and retention in medical students: A randomized controlled trial. *Journal of Otolaryngology - Head and Neck Surgery*, 47(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40463-018-0317-4>
- Chu, H. E., Treagust, D. F., Yeo, S., & Zadnik, M. (2012). Evaluation of Students' Understanding of Thermal Concepts in Everyday Contexts. *International Journal of Science Education*, 34(10), 1509–1534. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09500693.2012.657714>
- Doyan, A., Susilawati, Gunada, I. W., & Hilfan. (2021). The Effect of Problem-Based and Discovery Learning Models

- with the Science Approach to the Understanding Concept and Science Process Skills of the Student. *Proceedings of the 5th Asian Education Symposium 2020 (AES 2020)*, 566(Aes 2020), 274–280. <https://doi.org/10.2991/assehr.k.210715.058>
- Harahap, R. S. I., & Sihombing, E. (2015). Pengaruh Model Pembelajaran Discovery Learning Terhadap Hasil Belajar Siswa Pada Materi Pokok Listrik Dinamis Di Sma Negeri 11 Medan. *INPAFI (Inovasi Pembelajaran Fisika)*, 3(4), 148–155. <https://doi.org/10.24114/inpafi.v3i4.5401>
- Ibrahim, I., Gunawan, G., & Kosim, K. (2020). Validitas Perangkat Pembelajaran Fisika Berbasis Model Discovery dengan Pendekatan Konflik Kognitif. *Jurnal Pijar Mipa*, 15(3), 214–218. <https://doi.org/10.29303/jpm.v15i3.1878>
- Idulog, M. V. A., Gadiano, R. C., Toledo, E. G., Melanie, L., Casaldon, H. V., Mariposa, M. R., & Geron, C. R. (2023). Filipino Students ' Reading Abilities : A Note on the Challenges and Potential Areas for Improvement. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 2(2), 233–242. <https://doi.org/https://10.57092/ijetz.v2i2.128>
- Joy, A. (2014). Impact of Discovery-Based Learning Method on Senior Secondary School Physics. *IOSR Journal of Research & Method in Education (IOSRJME)*, 4(3), 32–36. <https://doi.org/10.9790/7388-04353236>
- Loibl, K., & Leuders, T. (2018). Fehler beim Erkunden und Ordnen – die Wirksamkeit von Productive Failure als mehrphasiges Entdeckendes Lernen mit Unterstützung. *Journal Fur Mathematik-Didaktik*, 39(1), 69–96. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13138-018-0130-7>
- M, U., Degeng, I. N. S., Utaya, S., & Kuswandi, D. (2022). The Influence of JIGSAW Learning Model and Discovery Learning on Learning Discipline and Learning Outcomes. *Pegem Journal of Education and Instruction*, 12(2), 166–178. <https://doi.org/10.47750/pegegog.12.02.17>
- Masalesi, A. K. (2022). Resource Identification and Level of Understanding of Particle Dynamics Concepts. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 1(2), 8–10. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.57092/ijetz.v1i2.48>
- Maya, F., Yani, F., Rohmah, S., Purnama, B. Y., & Zohuri, B. (2023). Analysis of Students ' Understanding of Concepts in Straight Motion Material in Physics Learning. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 2(1), 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.57092/ijetz.v2i1.60>
- Meiliani, M., Tanti, T., & Sulman, F. (2021). Student Resources On Newton's Law Concepts Reviewing From Gender: Identification Using Open-Ended Question. *Indonesia Journal of Science and Mathematics Education*, 04(November), 324–332. <https://doi.org/10.24042/ij sme.v4i3.10177>
- Misbah, M., Trisnowati, E., Rahim, A., & Zb, A. (2022). Investigating Problem Solving and Mathematical Connections in Solving the Fermi-Dirac Equation. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 1(2), 8–10. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.57092/ijetz.v1i2.36>

(**Boby Syefrinando , Al Fajri Adha , Ayu Sofna, Cici Pramita , Tasa Ratna Puri , Mona Erliza, Fauzan Sulman**)

- Nada, A. Q., Taqwa, M., Arief, T., Supriana, E., & Suwasono, P. (2022). Development of an Android-Based Physics Interactive E-Module Equipped with Problems with Scaffolding in Applying the Concept of Light Waves. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 1(2), 8–10. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.57092/ijetz.v1i2.43>
- Nehru, N., Ananda, R., Zb, A., & Novaliyyan, D. (2022). The Analysis of Mathematical Critical Thinking Ability and Mathematical Creativity : Judging from the Process of Deriving the Fermi-Dirac Formula. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 1(2), 8–10. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.57092/ijetz.v1i2.33>
- Ornek, F., Robinson, W. R., Haugan, M. P., & Email, C. A. (2008). What makes physics difficult? *International Journal of Environmental and Science Education*, 3(1), 30–34.
- Pamuji, Z., & Mawardi, K. (2023). Islamic Religious Education Curriculum Development Based On Multiculturalism in Merdeka Curriculum At Elementary School. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 2(2), 286–298. <https://doi.org/https://10.57092/ijetz.v2i2.125>
- Pangesti, R. Y., & Astono, J. (2018). *Pengembangan Media Pembelajaran Puzzle Fisika Untuk Meningkatkan Penguasaan Materi Dan Minat Belajar Fisika Peserta Didik Sma Development of Learning Media of Physics Puzzle for Increase the Mastery of the Subject Matter and Interst in Physics Learning of. 1*, 1–9.
- Pramita, C., & Putri, T. R. (2023). The Effect of Learning Interest on Students ' Concept Understanding Ability Against Subject Pressure. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 2(2), 243–253. <https://doi.org/https://10.57092/ijetz.v2i2.59>
- Putra, M. I. J., Junaid, M., & Sulman, F. (2021). The Ability of the Question and Answer (Q&A) Method with the Help of Learning Videos against Student Learning Outcomes amid the Covid-19 Pandemic. *EDUKATIF: Jurnal Ilmu Pendidikan*, 3(5), 2160–2169. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.31004/edukatif.v3i5.768>
- Rafzan, R., Budimansyah, D., Rahmat, R., Fitriasari, S., & Arzam, A. (n.d.). *Civic Engagement and Higher Education Develop Student in Civic Education Through based Project Citizen Model Learning*. 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.4108/eai.3-11-2021.2314834>
- Rahim, A., & Nadira, N. (2022). Application of Model Group Investigation based on Experiments Against Student Academic Skills. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 1(2), 8–10. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.57092/ijetz.v1i2.35>
- Rahmi, N., & Fitria, Y. (2020). Pengaruh Model Discovery Learning Terhadap Hasil Belajar Siswa Dalam Pembelajaran Tematik Di Kelas Iv Sekolah Dasar *Core.Ac.Uk*, 4, 2715–2722.
- Ramadhani, F. A., & Nurita, T. (2022). The Critical Thinking Skills of Junior High School Student On Simple Machines. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 1(2), 8–10. <https://doi.org/DOI:https://doi.org/10.57092/ijetz.v1i2.29>
- Reyza, M., Taqwa, A., Sulman, F., & Faizah, R. (2022). College Students ' Conceptual Understanding of Force and Motion : Research Focus on Resource Theory

- College Students ' Conceptual Understanding of Force and Motion : Research Focus on Resource Theory. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2309/1/012073>
- Reyza, M., Taqwa, A., Suyudi, A., & Sulman, F. (2023). *Kinematics students ' conceptual understanding in mathematical and visual representations : Is it different ? Kinematics Students ' Conceptual Understanding in Mathematical and Visual Representations : Is It Different ? 050005*(January).
- Rosdiana, D., Suherman, A., & Darman, D. R. (2019). Pengembangan Media Pembelajaran Virtual Physics Laboratory (ViPhyLab) Dalam Praktikum Hukum Kirchhoff. *Journal of Natural Science and Integration*, 2(2), 11. <https://doi.org/10.24014/jnsi.v2i2.7906>
- Rozal, E., Ananda, R., Zb, A., Fauziddin, M., & Sulman, F. (2021). The Effect of Project-Based Learning through YouTube Presentations on English Learning Outcomes in Physics. *AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 13(3), 1924–1933. <https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v13i3.1241>
- Sapitri, D., & Indriyati, S. (2023). Analysis of The Use of Discussion And Question And Answer Methods As an Effort to Improve Student Physics Learning Outcomes. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 2(2), 188–199. <https://doi.org/https://10.57092/ijetz.v2i2.63>
- Seftiani, W., & Aulia, I. D. (2023). Understanding and Expressing The Factors Causing Difficulties of Children In Learning , Especially In The Field Of Reading. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 2(2), 221–232. <https://doi.org/https://10.57092/ijetz.v2i2.69>
- Serway, R. A., & Jewett, J. W. (2014). *Serway & Jewett - Physics for Scientists and Engineers with Modern Physics 9ed*.
- Silaban, A., Akbar, M., Purba, R., Hajar, S., & Fatiah, M. S. (2023). Analisis Penguasaan Konsep Menggunakan Media PHET Pada Materi Listrik Dinamis. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika*, 12, 76–80. <https://doi.org/10.24114/jpf.v12i1.45391>
- Sinulingga, P., Hartanto, T. J., & Santoso, B. (2016). Implementasi Pembelajaran Fisika Berbantuan Media Simulasi PhET untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Siswa Pada Materi Listrik Dinamis. *Jurnal Penelitian & Pengembangan Pendidikan Fisika*, 2(1), 57–64. <https://doi.org/10.21009/1.02109>
- Sofna, A., Sakinah, Y., & Pentang, J. T. (2023). Analysis of Student Learning Interest In Physics Subject In Force Material. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 2(1), 1–2.
- Sulman, F. (2012). *Pengaruh Model Kooperatif Tipe Problem Posing dan Motivasi Awal Siswa Kelas XI SMA Negeri 12 Padang*.
- Sulman, F. (2019). Application of Cooperative Problem Posing and Prior Motivation Towards Students Learning Outcomes. *Indonesian Journal of Educational Research (IJER)*, 4(2), 93–96. <https://doi.org/10.30631/ijer.v4i2.126>
- Sulman, F., Sutopo, S., & Kusairi, S. (2021). FMCE-PHQ-9 Assessment with Rasch Model in Detecting Concept Understanding , Cheating , and Depression amid the Covid-19 Pandemic. *Tadris: Jurnal Keguruan Dan*

(**Boby Syefrinando , Al Fajri Adha , Ayu Sofna, Cici Pramita , Tasa Ratna Puri , Mona Erliza, Fauzan Sulman**)

- Ilmu Tarbiyah*, 6(2), 297–309.
<https://doi.org/10.24042/tadris.v6i2.9273>
- Sulman, F., Tanti, T., Habibi, M., & Zb, A. (2021). Pengaruh Media Animasi Berkarakter Islami Terhadap Hasil Belajar Pengetahuan Bumi dan Antariksa. *Edumaspul: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 5(1), 135–146.
<https://doi.org/10.33487/edumaspul.v5i1.1044>
- Sulman, F., Taqwa, M. R. A., Aminah Zb, A. Z., Rafzan, R., & Fikri, A. (2020). The Effect of Mathematical Connections on the Mastery of Probability Material. *Edumatika : Jurnal Riset Pendidikan Matematika*, 3(2), 147–157.
<https://doi.org/10.32939/ejrpm.v3i2.645>
- Sulman, F., Yuliatai, L., Kusairi, S., Hidayat, A., Pentang, J., & Mensah, B. (2023). Investigating concept mastery of physics students during online lectures through Rasch models on force and motion materials. 9(1), 95–106.
- Sulman, F., Yuliati, L., Kusairi, S., & Hidayat, A. (2022). Hybrid Learning Model : Its Impact on Mastery of Concepts and Self- Regulation in Newton ' s Second Law Material. *Kasuari: Physics Education Journal*, 5(1), 65–74.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.37891/kpej.v5i1.273>
- Sulman, F., Yuliati, L., Purnama, B. Y., & Arief, M. R. (2022). Creativity In Deriving The Fermi-Dirac Equation Through STEAM Approaches. 10(3).
<https://doi.org/10.20527/bipf.v10i3.13182>
- Tariyanti, V. A., Gumay, O. P. U., & Arini, W. (2022). Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Discovery Learning Terhadap Hasil Belajar Siswa Kelas X Sma Negeri Purwodadi. *Universitas Muslim Indonesia*, 1(January), 106–113.
- Verdian, F., Jadid, M., & Rahmani, M. (2021). Jurnal Pendidikan dan Ilmu Fisika (JPIF) STUDI PENGGUNAAN MEDIA SIMULASI PhET DALAM PEMBELAJARAN FISIKA. *Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Ilmu Fisika*, 1(2), 39–44.
- Wahyudi, W. (2021). Penerapan Model Pembelajaran Project Based Learning Untuk Meningkatkan Hasil Belajar Fisika Materi Listrik Statis Danlistrik Dinamis Siswa Kelas X Rpl 1 Smk N I Dlanggu.Kab. Mojokerto Tapel 2018/2019. *Journal of Education Action Research*, 5(1), 57–66.
<https://doi.org/10.23887/jear.v5i1.31997>
- Wahyuni, I. H., & Taqwa, M. R. A. (2022). Level of Students Conceptual Understanding and Resource Theory View : Geometric Optics. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 1(2), 8–10.
[https://doi.org/Wahyuni, I. H., & Taqwa, M. R. A. \(2022\). Level of Students Conceptual Understanding and Resource Theory View : Geometric Optics. International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone, 1\(2\), 8–10.](https://doi.org/Wahyuni, I. H., & Taqwa, M. R. A. (2022). Level of Students Conceptual Understanding and Resource Theory View : Geometric Optics. International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone, 1(2), 8–10.)
- Wulandari, P. A., & Liliani, E. (2023). Critical Discourse Analysis Theo Van Leeuwen ' s Inclusion Video Podcast on the Gritte Agatha YouTube Channel in the discourse analysis lecture of the Indonesian language education study program. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 2(2), 341–348.
<https://doi.org/https://10.57092/ijetz.v2i2.130>
- Wulansari, E., Sahid, N., & Wahyudi, R. (2023). Factors Affecting Students ' Interest in Continuing Study at Higher Education. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 2(1), 1–2.
<https://doi.org/10.57092/ijetz.v2i1.116>
- Yusuf, I., Zb, A., & Rozal, E. (2022). The

(**Boby Syefrinando , Al Fajri Adha , Ayu Sofna, Cici Pramita , Tasa Ratna Puri , Mona Erliza, Fauzan Sulman**)

- Understanding Mathematical Communication Concepts and Skills: Analysis of the Ability of Prospective Physics Teachers? *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 1(2), 8–10.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.57092/ijetz.v1i2.34>
- Zakwandi, R., Wulansari, P., Maula, A. R., & Hasan, S. (2022). Learning Reflection During Covid-19 Pandemic: Teacher Perception Toward Google Form Based Test. *International Journal of Education and Teaching Zone*, 1(2), 8–10.
<https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.57092/ijetz.v1i2.42>
- Zb, A., Novalian, D., Ananda, R., Habibi, M., & Sulman, F. (2021). *DISTANCE LEARNING WITH STEAM APPROACHES: Is Effect On The Cognitive Domain?* 6(2), 129–140.
- Zb, A., Novalian, D., Rozal, E., Sulman, F., & Habibi, M. (2021). STEM Approach in Online Lectures: How Does it Contribute to Cognitive Aspects? *Indonesian Journal of Science and Education*, 5(2), 88–97.
<https://doi.org/10.31002/ijose.v5i2.4365>
- Zb, A., Setiawan, M. E., Rozal, E., & Sulman, F. (2021). Investigating Hybrid Learning Strategies: Does it Affect Creativity? *Jurnal Kependidikan: Jurnal Hasil Penelitian Dan Kajian Kepustakaan Di Bidang Pendidikan, Pengajaran Dan Pembelajaran*, 7(4), 868–875.
<https://doi.org/10.33394/jk.v7i4.4063>
- Zb, A., Setiawan, M. E., & Sulman, F. (2020). Pengaruh E-Learning Berbasis Schoology Berbantuan WhatsApp Group terhadap Hasil Belajar Ditengah Pandemi Covid-19. *Al-Khidmah*, 3(2), 55–60. <https://doi.org/10.29406/al-khidmah.v3i2.2282>
- Zeidler, D. L., & Nichols, B. H. (2009). Socioscientific issues: Theory and practice. *Journal of Elementary Science Education*, 21(2), 49–58.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf03173684>
- Zhang, Y., & Zhang, Z. (2018). ‘Kexue Wenhua’ in Chinese and ‘Scientific Culture’, ‘Science Culture’, ‘Culture of Science’ and ‘Science as Culture’ in English: The Meanings and the Structure. *Cultures of Science*, 1(1), 25–37.
<https://doi.org/10.1177/209660831800100104>
- Zheng, J., Huang, L., Li, S., Lajoie, S. P., Chen, Y., & Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2021). Self-regulation and emotion matter: A case study of instructor interactions with a learning analytics dashboard. *Computers and Education*, 161, 104061.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2020.104061>
- Zunicha, Sunarno, W., & Suparmi. (2017). *PEMBELAJARAN FISIKA MENGGUNAKAN PENDEKATAN SCIENCE , ENVIRONMENT , TECHNOLOGY , AND SOCIETY (SETS) DENGAN METODE PROYEK DAN EKSPERIMEN DI TINJAU DARI KEMAMPUAN BERPIKIR KRITIS DAN KREATIVITAS SISWA*. 6(3), 101–112.